## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

	§	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
	<b>§</b>	
Plaintiff	<b>§</b>	
	§	
v.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:11-cv-04085
	§	
\$20,768.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,	§	
	§	
Defendant in rem.	<b>§</b>	
	§	

## CLAIMANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S VERIFIED COMPLAINT

JAYABEN PATEL ("Claimant"), by and through her attorney of record, files this

Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Plaintiff's Verified Complaint for Forfeiture in Rem and
respectfully states:

- 1. Claimant admits to the nature of the action in paragraph 1, but denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief on those claims.
- 2. Claimant admits as to Defendant in rem in paragraph 2.
- 3. Claimant admits as to jurisdiction and venue in paragraphs 3 and 4, respectively.
- 4. The alleged bases for forfeiture in paragraph 5 are legal conclusions, and require no response, but in an abundance of caution, they are denied.
- Claimant admits she was at George Bush Intercontinental Airport on November 7,2011, but denies the factual allegations in paragraph 6.
- 6. Claimant denies the factual allegations stated in paragraphs 7 & 8.
- 7. Claimant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in paragraph 9.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

8. Claimant is asserting, as bailee, the innocent owner defense under 18 U.S.C. § 983(d) and has standing to claim this affirmative defense under 18 U.S.C. § 983(d)(6). The bailors are the following persons:

Bhavesh Patel Jagdishbhai Patel Laxmanbhai Patel Priyaben Patel Bhumikaben Patel Super 8 Motel

The Claimant, as bailee, has a colorable and legitimate interest in the seized property. The above-identified bailors, who have ownership interest in the currency, transferred possession of the currency to the Claimant for various legal and legitimate purposes (e.g., improvement of family land overseas, and the purchase of personal gifts such as clothing). With the currency now having been seized and subject to forfeiture, the Claimant, as bailee, is seeking to reclaim the instant currency for return to the bailors. Bailors did not know of the conduct giving rise to forfeiture, and the conduct leading to seizure was not reasonably foreseeable by the bailors. Under the innocent owner defense, Claimant is entitled to the immediate remission of the defendant property to her possession.

9. The Plaintiff's attempt to forfeit the entire \$20,768.00 is contrary to the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment. A violation of the Clause requires the Court to immediately remit the defendant property to the Claimant. *United States v. Hosep Krikor Bajakajian*, 118 S. Ct. 2028 (1998).

WHEREFORE, the Claimant, Jayaben Patel, respectfully requests the Court to dismiss this cause of action; to order the immediate remission of the defendant property to the Claimants; to award reasonable attorneys fees and other litigation costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(b)1(A); and to award pre-judgment interest pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(b)(1)(C). Executed on: January 17, 2012.

Respectfully submitted, GIVENS & JOHNSTON, PLLC

By \_\_\_\_\_/s/ Clinton K. Yu Clinton K. Yu Texas Bar #: 24070901 FED ID: 1125968 950 Echo Lane, Suite 360 Houston, Texas 77024 Phone: (713) 932-1540

Alt: (800) 285-8042 Fax: (713) 932-1542

Email: cyu@givensjohnston.com

ATTORNEY FOR CLAIMANT

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of the fo	oregoing Answer and Affirmative Defenses
was e-mailed to Albert Ratliff, Assistant United State	es Attorney, on the <u>17th</u> day of
<u>January</u> , 20 <u>12</u> , at:	
Albert.ratliff@usdoj.gov	
_	/s/ Clinton K. Yu
	Clinton K. Yu
	Attorney for Claimant Jayaben Patel
	•